

S0040-4039(96)00437-6

Synthesis of Unsymmetrical Dipyrrolyl Sulfides

Clotilde Pichon and A. Ian Scott

Center for Biological NMR, Department of Chemistry, Texas A&M University,
College Station, Texas 77843-3255, USA.

Abstract: The preparation of unsymmetrical dipyrrolyl sulfides bearing electron-withdrawing groups at the α -position is described. Copyright © 1996 Elsevier Science Ltd

For some time, our laboratory has been studying the substrate specificity and mechanism of Uroporphyrinogen III synthase, the enzyme in the porphyrinoid pathway which cyclizes the linear tetrapyrrole 1-hydroxymethylbilane (HMB, 1) to Uroporphyrinogen III, a key precursor for the biologically vital pigments, hemes, coenzyme F 430, vitamin B_{12} and chlorophyll. In the course of our studies, we were interested in an analog of the natural substrate (1) bearing a sulfur bridge at the C-15 meso position (2). This should be obtained through preparation of an unsymmetrical dipyrrolyl sulfide bearing a formyl or carboxyester group at the α -carbon for further extension. A literature survey showed few examples of dipyrrolyl sulfides, and only one was unsymmetrical.

We first turned our attention to the most logical approach: introduction of the thio group at the α -position of a β -substituted pyrrole (acetate and propionate methyl esters at both β -positions) followed by electrophilic substitution with another pyrrole to provide the unsymmetrical dipyrrolyl sulfide. With α -methylpyrrole, substitution was obtained in moderate to good yields by 3 different methods: (a) substitution of **3b** with sodium hydrosulfide⁴ to afford, after work-up, the thiopyrrole **3c** (25%), (b) oxidation of thiolacetic acid by I_2/KI^5 in the presence of the α -free pyrrole **3a** to provide the acetylthiopyrrole **3d** (23%) and (c) by reaction of **3a** with thiourea in presence of an halogen⁶ to give the S-(pyrrolyl)isothiuronium salt **3e** (73%). However, despite all our efforts, these procedures failed to provide any thiopyrrole when the starting material was substituted at the α -carbon with a formyl or ester group (**4a**, **4b**, **5a** and **5b** as appropriate) in place of methyl.

This result is not so surprising in view of the well-known substituent effects on electrophilic substitution of pyrrole.^{2,7} In fact, electron-donating groups at the α -position, such as an alkyl group, enhance the reactivity of the other α -carbon, while electron-withdrawing groups, such as formyl or ester, show a deactivating effect. The presence of substituents at the β -carbons, which generally tend to decrease reactivity of neighboring positions, could also combine with and reinforce the previous effect, albeit slightly.

We next considered sulfur dichloride, which has given good results for the preparation of symmetrical dipyrrolyl sulfides, ⁸ but has not been mentioned in the preparation of unsymmetrical dipyrrolyl sulfides.

As expected, reaction of SCl₂ with α-free pyrroles afforded symmetrical dipyrrolylsulfides (table, entries 1, 3 and 5). If the pyrroles carry an ester group at the α-position (entries 3 and 5), good yields of the products are obtained with little formation of the chlorides (5c and 6c) as by-products. In case of the α -formylpyrrole 4a(entry 1), both sulfide 8 and disulfide 9 were isolated in moderate yields as well as the chloride 4c as sideproduct. In the presence of two α -free pyrroles as substrates (entries 7 to 15), a mixture of compounds, symmetrical and unsymmetrical dipyrrolyl sulfides and α-chloropyrroles, was obtained, the main products being the symmetrical sulfides. The use of SCl2 for the preparation of sulfenyl chlorides and their subsequent substitution with aromatic hydrocarbons is well documented and a variety of conditions to improve the ratio of unsymmetrical/symmetrical sulfides was tried. First, it was observed that shielding the reaction from light lowered the formation of the chlorides, which are probably generated through disproportionation of the reagent and/or the sulfenyl chloride. In principle addition of one substrate to the reagent followed by addition of the second pyrrole (condition C) should provide a better ratio of unsymmetrical/symmetrical sulfides. However, such was not the case (entries 9 and 14). This could be explained by 2 combined factors: (i) the great reactivity of the sulfenyl chloride formed which competes with the reagent to attack the substrate; (ii) the greater deactivating effect on α-electrophilic substitution due to the formyl group possessing a greater electronegative effect than the ester group (entry 9). However, other factors may well be involved, since in the inverse addition, i.e. 4a followed by 5a (not shown in the table), no unsymmetrical dipyrrolyl sulfide was detected.

Variations in the temperature, order of addition reagent/substrates and addition of catalyst (Lewis acids, inorganic supports) led to little improvement in the yields, although it was found best to carry out the reaction at room temperature with very little difference effected by the order of addition reagent/substrates. The presence of Friedel-Crafts catalysts often causes erratic results with aromatic hydrocarbons⁹ and of the various catalysts tried, only tin(II) chloride showed a slightly better yield of unsymmetrical dipyrrolyl sulfide (entry 10). In recent years, solid supports (silica gel, clay, alumina) have shown interesting catalytic properties on a variety of reactions. ¹⁰ We observed no drastic effect in using neutral alumina especially for the preparation of symmetrical dipyrrolyl sulfides (entries 2, 4 and 6), however, the yields of unsymmetrical dipyrrolyl sulfides were increased

| entry | substrates | condi- | reaction | productsa | (yield,%) |
|-------|------------|--------------------------------|---|----------------|-----------------------------------|
| | | tions | sym. dipyrroles | unsym. dipyr. | by-products |
| 1 | 4a | A | 8 (41) + 9 (38) | - | 4c (14) |
| 2 | 4a | В | 8 (40) + 9 (36) | - | 4c (10) |
| 3 | 5a | A | 10 (76) | - | 5c (9) |
| 4 | 5a | В | 10 (86) | - | 5c (8) |
| 5 | 6a | A | 11 (80) | - | 6c (3) |
| 6 | 6a | В | 11 (70) | | 6c (2) |
| 7 | 4a + 5a | A | 8(20) + 9b + 10(49) | 13 (24) | 5c (10) + 4c b |
| 8 | 4a + 5a | В | 8 (15) + 9 (7) + 10 (36) | 13 (28) | 5c(7) + 4c(3) |
| 9 | 4a + 5a | С | 8(28) + 9b + 10(58) | 13 (13) | $5c(7) + 4c^{b}$ |
| 10 | 4a + 5a | D _A ,D _C | 8 ^b + 9 ^b + 10 ^b | 13 (26,18) | 5c ^b + 4c ^b |
| 11 | 4a + 6a | Α | 8 (21) + 9 (10) + 11 (25) | 14 (21) | $6c^b + 4c (23)$ |
| 12 | 4a + 6a | В | 8 (18) + 9 (16) + 11 (31) | 14 (23) | 6c (10) + 4c (11) |
| 13 | 4a + 6a | Е | 8 (15) + 9 (4) + 11 (27) | 14 (26) | 6c (7) + 4c (11) |
| 14 | 5a + 7a | С | 10 (55) + 12 (29) | 15 (26) | 5c ^b + 7c ^b |
| 15 | 5a + 7a | В | 10 (47) + 12 (25) | 15 (29) | 5c (5) + 7c (9) |

Table: Preparation of Dipyrrolyl Sulfides.

A: see a.

B: A + addition of neutral Al₂O₃ to SCl₂ solution.

C: addition of a solution of 5a to SCl2 solution, then addition of the solution of the second substrate (4a or 7a).

DA: A + 0.2 eq. SnCl₂ added to the SCl₂ solution; DC: C + 0.2 eq SnCl₂ added to the SCl₂ solution.

E: 1 eq. 1M SCl₂ in CH₂Cl₂ was added to a solution of the substrates followed by 2 eq. anh. CaCO₃ at the end of the addition.

a: Typical procedure (condition A): The 2 substrates (0.2 mmol each) in anh. CH₂Cl₂ (0.4 M) were added dropwise during 15 min to a solution of SCl₂ (1M in CH₂Cl₂, 0.2 mmol). The reaction was stirred in the dark at RT under N₂ for 8 h. The solution was washed with NaHCO₃, the organic solution dried over MgSO₄, filtered and the solvent evaporated. The different products were isolated by preparative TLC (AcOEt/Hexanes, 1/1). For conditions B and E, the reaction mixture was filtered through Celite and the solid washed with CH₂Cl₂ + 5% MeOH prior washing with NaHCO₃. All products were satisfactorily characterized by ¹H-, ¹³C-NMR and mass spectroscopy.

b: observed by TLC, but the yield was not determined.

8 R=CHO X=S 9 R=CHO X=S₂ 12 R=CO₂ Bu X=S

10 R'=CO₂CH₂Pl 11 R'=CO₂Bu

13 R=CHO R'=CO₂CH₂Ph 14 R=CHO R'=CO₂'Bu 15 R=CO₂'Bu R'=CO₂CH₂Ph

slightly by its addition (entries 8, 12 and 15) or the addition of solid calcium carbonate (entry 13) after reagent addition to the substrates. This could be easily explained in both cases by the elimination of the hydrogen chloride formed during the course of the reaction, thus allowing the reaction to proceed at nearly neutral pH.

In summary, this represents the first account of preparation of unsymmetrical dipyrrolyl sulfides bearing electron-withdrawing groups at the α -position and could open the way to a variety of new polypyrroles and porphyrinoid compounds. In particular, the synthesis of a bilane with a meso sulfur bridge (2), a substrate analog for Uroporphyrinogen III synthase, is now in progress.

Acknowledgment. We thank the National Institutes of Health (N.I.D.D.K.) for financial support of this work.

References.

- 1. Scott, A. I. Tetrahedron 1994, 50, 13315.
- 2. Jones, R. A. Pyrroles; Chemistry of Heterocyclic Compounds, v. 48, J. Wiley & Sons: New-York. Pt.1 1990, pt.2 1992.
- 3. Harris, R. L. N. Aust. J. Chem. 1970, 23, 1199.
- 4. Kvitko, Ya.; Sokolova, N. B. Chem. Heterocycl. Cmpds. 1972, 714; ibid. 1973, 521.
- 5. Beveridge, S.; Harris, R. L. N. Aust. J. Chem. 1971, 24, 1229.
- 6. Harris, R. L. N. Tetrahedron Lett. 1968, 37, 4045.
- 7. Marino, G. Adv. Heterocycl. Chem. 1971, 13, 235. Jones, R. A.; Bean, G. P. The Chemistry of Pyrroles, Organic Chemistry, v. 34, Academic Press, Inc.: London. 1977.
- Badger, G. M.; Harris, R. L. N.; Jones, R. A. Aust. J. Chem. 1964, 17, 1022. Harris, R. L. N. Tetrahedron Lett. 1969, 3689. Broadhurst, M. J.; Griss, R. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm. 1970, 807. Treibs, A.; Schulze, L.; Kreuzer, F.-H.; Kolm, H.-G. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1973, 207. Falk, H.; Flödl, H. Monatsch. Chem. 1989, 120, 45.
- 9. Kühle, E. Synthesis 1970, 561. Kühle, E. Synthesis 1971, 563 and 617.
- Thomas, J. M.; Theocharis, C. R. Mod. Synth. Methods 1989, 5, 249; Izumi, Y.; Urabe, K.; Onaka, M. Zeolite, Clay, and Heteropoly Acid in Organic Reactions; VCH Publishers: New-York. 1992.

(Received in USA 24 January 1996; revised 23 February 1996; accepted 25 February 1996)